

The Florida Board of Chiropractic Medicine held a meeting on Friday, February 9, 2018, commencing at 8:30 a.m. This meeting was held at the Radisson Resort Orlando, 2900 Parkway Boulevard, Kissimmee, Florida 34747, (407) 997-7032, to which all persons were invited to attend. Participants in this public meeting were made aware that these proceedings were being recorded and that an audio file of the meeting will be posted to the board's website.

APPROVED MINUTES

Section I started at: 8:30 a.m.

I. CALL TO ORDER (Roll Call):

Board Members Present:

- a. Kevin Fogarty, D.C., F.I.C.A. (Hon), Chair
- b. Danita Heagy, D.C., Vice-Chair
- c. Christopher Fox, D.C., ATC(L)
- d. Mindy Weingarten, D.C.
- e. Julie Hunt, D.C.
- f. David Colter
- g. Paul Licata

Board Staff Present:

Anthony Spivey, DBA, Executive Director
Gail Curry, Program Operations Administrator
Brandi May, Regulatory Supervisor

Board Counsel:

Lawrence Harris, Assistant Attorney General

Prosecution Counsel:

Rose Garrison, Assistant General Counsel
Derrick McBurrows, Assistant General Counsel

Other Attendees Present:

Paul Lambert, General Counsel to the Florida Chiropractic Association
Kim Driggers, Assistant General Counsel to the Florida Chiropractic Association
Lucas Matlock, President, Florida Chiropractic Society
Dr. Martha Brown, Physician's Recovery Network

Court Reporter:

American Court Reporting
Suzette Bragg
(407) 896-1813

Section I ended at: 8:35 a.m.

Section II began at: 8:35 a.m.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

a. November 3, 2017

Action: Motion to approve made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Fox.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

b. January 5, 2018

Action: Motion to approve made by Dr. Weingarten. Second by Dr. Heagy.

Vote: 7 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Section II ended at: 8:37 a.m.

Section III began at: 8:37 a.m.

III. FINAL ORDER ACTION:

a. SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT:

i. Barry W. Sutphin, D.C., Case No. 2015-23119

PCP: Dougherty/Reynolds

Dr. Sutphin was present. He was not represented by counsel. Ms. Garrison presented the case. Dr. Sutphin was previously charged with knowingly filing a false report and providing services that did not occur. Dr. Sutphin agreed to accept the settlement.

Action: Motion to deny the stipulation made by Dr. Weingarten. Second made by Dr. Fox.

Dr. Weingarten wants monitoring for Dr. Sutphin. Dr. Heagy wants Dr. Sutphin to take some courses in documentation and coding. Dr. Fogarty wants the ethics exam added to the counter stipulation.

Action: Motion to reject the settlement agreement.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Dr. Sutphin is retired but wants to keep his license. He stated he does not practice, so a monitor will not be needed unless he wants to return to practice. Dr. Sutphin must take the ethics exam through the national board. Dr. Heagy wants Dr. Sutphin to take 6 hours in documentation and coding per the next biennium in addition to the required continuing education hours. Dr. Fogarty requested the fine be raised to 10,000.00. The current costs are \$3,023.01. Dr. Sutphin's license is currently in active status. Dr. Sutphin wants to do coverage work for other chiropractic physicians. The Board advised Dr. Sutphin that a monitor will be required for two years and they must submit documentation on his behalf if he wishes to work as a chiropractor.

Action: Motion made to change the requirements of the settlement agreement made by Dr. Fogarty. Second by Dr. Heagy.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed.

Dr. Sutphin has 30 days to accept the counter offer from the Board. Dr. Sutphin chose 30 days to decide if he wishes to accept the Board's offer.

The department had no objections to the Board's recommendations.

ii. Troy W. Godsey, D.C., Case No. 2015-19495

PCP: Dougherty/Reynolds

Dr. Godsey was present. He was represented by the counsel, Edwin Bayo, Esq. The case was presented by Mr. McBurrows. The respondent pled guilty or nolo contendere of being unable to practice in the licensee's profession. Dr. Godsey did not report it in writing to the department within the required 30 days. The proposed settlement agreement includes the following: The Respondent must appear before the Board, will receive a Letter of Concern from the Board, pay a \$1,000 dollar fine within 1-year of the final order and pay the original administrative costs of \$625.35 within 1-year. The Respondent must also successfully complete the Laws and Rules Exam within 1-year of the final order.

Mr. Bayo addressed the Board. Mr. Bayo agreed to the settlement agreement.

Action: Motion to accept the settlement agreement made by Dr. Heagy. Second made by Dr. Hunt.

Action: Motion to withdraw the original motion made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Hunt.

Action: Motion to reject the settlement agreement made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Action: Motion to increase the fine to \$5,000.00. The ethics examination will be added and must be passed within 1-year. A Letter of Concern will be issued. There will be an additional 2 hours of Laws & Rules continuing education that must be taken within 1-year made by Dr. Fogarty. Second made by Dr. Fox.

Mr. Bayo requested the fine be \$3,000.00. The Board agreed.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Mr. Bayo and his client Dr. Godsey, agreed to the terms of the settlement. The Prosecution had no objections. The payment of costs was included in the settlement agreement.

iii. Brandon S. Wood, D.C., Case No. 2016-26767

PCP: Dougherty/Hoffman

Dr. Wood was present. He was not represented by counsel. Dr. Wood was terminated from the Physician's Recovery Network (PRN). He had previously agreed to voluntarily withdraw from practice. Dr. Wood was found to still be practicing by PRN. Dr. Wood stated he has not seen a patient for two years. Dr. Martha Brown from PRN addressed the Board. Dr. Wood was non-compliant with his contract.

Action: Motion to reject the settlement agreement made by Dr. Fox. Second by Dr. Heagy.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Action: The license will be suspended until Dr. Wood completes a PRN evaluation and PRN feels he is safe to practice. There will be a reprimand. The fine will be \$1,000.00. The costs to date are \$1,352.01. The fines and costs must be paid within 1-year. The Board reserves the right to impose additional restrictions upon reinstatement of licensure.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Dr. Wood has 30 days to decide if he wishes to accept the counter settlement offer.

b. DETERMINATION OF WAIVER:

i. Howard R. Van Nostrand, D.C., Case No. 2017-08468

PCP: Dougherty/Jenkins

Dr. Van Nostrand was not present. He was represented by counsel Edwin Bayo, Esq. Mr. McBurrows presented the case. Dr. Van Nostrand was denied by the state of Alaska for licensure as a chiropractic physician. The respondent has failed to respond to an amended Election of Rights form.

Action: Motion to determine the respondent was properly served and failed to respond to an Election of Rights within the required time frame made by Dr. Weingarten. Second made by Mr. Colter.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Action: Motion to adopt the allegations of fact in the administrative complaint made by Dr. Weingarten. Second by Dr. Heagy.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Action: Motion to offer the investigative file into evidence made by Mr. Colter. Second by Dr. Hunt.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Mr. Bayo addressed the Board. Discussion ensued.

Action: Motion to issue a Letter of Concern made by Mr. Colter. Second by Dr. Fox.

Action: Motion to make the fine \$1,000.00 made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Action: Motion to require an additional two hours of laws and rules to be completed within 1-year made by Dr. Weingarten. Second by Dr. Heagy.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Action: Motion for costs in the amount of \$352.44 made by Dr. Weingarten. Second by Dr. Fox.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

The respondent has 1-year to pay the fines and costs.

Section III ended at: 10:17 a.m.

Skipped to Section VI

Section VI began at: 10:17 a.m.

VI. APPLICATIONS PRESENTED FOR BOARD REVIEW:

a. Michael Rouhana, D.C.

Dr. Rouhana was not present. He was not represented by counsel. Dr. Rouhana has previous disciplinary history in another state and a malpractice claim.

Action: Motion to require the applicant to appear before the Board at the one of the next two board meetings made by Dr. Fox. Second by Mr. Licata. If the applicant does not appear at one of the next two meetings, he will be denied for licensure.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

b. Braheem Tolbert, D.C.

Dr. Tolbert was not present and was not represented by counsel. Dr. Tolbert answered yes to discipline and health history questions. He has appeared before the Board previously. Dr. Tolbert did not comply with the PRN requirement in the previous final order.

Action: Motion to not allow the applicant to withdraw his application and allow the Final Order to stand as issued made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Mr. Colter.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Section VI ended at: 10:50 a.m.

Skipped to Section V

Section V began at: 10:50 a.m.

V. PROSECUTION REPORT:

Open Cases: **66**

Current cases 1-year or older: **37**

Ms. Garrison gave the Board a report on the steady decline of complaints for chiropractic medicine since 2013. The Prosecution Services Unit is responding to back-

logged inventory at a faster rate. The 4 cases at today's meeting will lower the overall caseload and two of them heard today were over 1-year old. At the last Probable Cause Panel meeting on December 6, 2017, five cases were resolved. For the upcoming Probable Cause Panel, there are currently four cases scheduled to be heard on March 7, 2018.

Action: Motion to continue to prosecute cases 1-year and older made by Dr. Heagy.
Second by Dr. Fox.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Reducing the probable cause panels from three sets of panels to two sets of panels was discussed and will be tabled until later.

Section V ended at: 10:57 a.m.

Section XIII began at: 1:14 a.m.

XIII. BOARD COUNSEL'S REPORT:

e. Continuing Education (64B2-13.004)

Mr. Harris gave the Board some ideas for revisions to this rule. Online continuing education was discussed by the Board members. Online continuing education can be reported immediately through CE Broker. The language real-time was agreed to be deleted. The provision to be able to ask the instructor questions and get a response in a timely fashion will be added in the language. Timely fashion was not defined, and it was decided to leave any time-limit language vague. There could be some post-test language added later.

Public comment was opened.

Public comment was allowed and limited to five minutes. Mr. Bayo approached the Board as a continuing education provider himself, providing an opinion to the Board recommending online continuing education. Ms. Driggers with the FCA felt the current requirement still represented 25% of the required continuing education and the current rule was fine.

Mr. Paul Lambert, General Counsel for the Florida Chiropractic Association, and his partner, Assistant General Counsel, Ms. Driggers, added that online continuing education should still be competency-based learning but the FCA agreed with the Board on their recommendations.

Mr. Albert E. "Rick" Warner was present with his attorney, Michelle Champion, Esq. and Dr. John Schmidt, D.C. Ms. Champion did agree with what was being proposed.

Mr. Harris suggested a definition for competency-based learning. The definition for an online attendance course is for purposes of this rule: online continuing education

course means a course presented through live synchronous technology or through pre-recorded video coupled with a means for students to ask questions of the instructor and receive a timely response. Six courses may be presented through video conferencing technologies or interactive computer-based applications and shall be competency-based learning. Mr. Harris added some proposed definition language to competency-based learning that included the following: Competency based learning shall mean a course in which a pre-test and a post-test are required to assess the learner's mastery of the material. A minimum score of 75% on all questions is required to achieve credit for the course.

The pre-test suggestion was removed by the Board. Competency based learning must obtain a minimum passing score of 75% was agreed to by the Board. The language that will be put in the new definition will read as follows: the challenge questions for each credit hour must be passed before the next credit hour may be attempted.

Mr. Harris stated the Section (3) (b) will read each licensee may obtain no more than 10 general hours each biennium through online attendance continuing education courses.

Mr. Harris discussed standard for approval for all continuing education courses whether live, live webinar or online attendance would require approval prior to the date of presentation. Competency based learning will be deleted because it is now in the online. The procedure to be used for recording attendance of those attendees seeking to apply for continuing education credit and the procedure for certification by the program's register of attendance is to be submitted to the board as verification. The provider must require that a licensee provide a photo identification and sign-in and out each time they enter or exit the meeting site. Providers of online attendance courses must explain how this requirement will be substantially met through the courses technology. Mr. Harris asked the Board if they would be comfortable deleting this and saying instead, for live courses, they must provide the photo identification and sign-in and out. The online technology would not need this language because the challenge questions and the post-tests would solve the verification problem. Dr. Heagy did not agree and discussed facial recognition even though it is an emerging technology. The language needed to stay vague for now. Online providers will have additional burdens in the current draft language. The continuing education provider must explain the appropriate level of technology required for the licensee to participate in the course.

Section (c)

The continuing education provider must have technical assistance available as appropriate to the format. The provider must allow access to the online program to a board member for auditing purposes. Copies of all tests, quizzes and/or attendance challenge questions must be submitted for board review. Mr. Harris did not include the SCORM format. The provider must show what security is available. The Board will still consider genuine hardships.

Mr. Lucas Matlock, President, Florida Chiropractic Society, addressed the board for clarification suggesting at the end of a 50-minute course there should be testing. FCS is fine with this. If someone doesn't achieve the 75% suggested by the Board does the person get to sit there and take the exam as many times as they want or would they must repeat the 50 minutes course before they take the exam again. Mr. Harris explained that he interrupts the rule to mean for every credit hour you take you will take an exam. If you fail the exam you would repeat the section and take the exam until you complete it. It could take you 6 hours to complete that section. Mr. Matlock asked "If someone fails do they have to repeat the 50 minutes each time to take the exam?" Mr. Harris responded that the board hasn't addressed this yet.

Licensees already can receive Florida approved continuing education pre-approved by submitting it to the board 30 days ahead of time for approval by the CE Chair. Section (d) will be struck-thru.

Ms. Driggers asked why 64B14-5.005 (b) was stricken from the rule. She believes this should stay in as it will cause abuse. Dr. Heagy wants to leave the language in. Dr. Fox wants to delete it. Dr. Hunt and Dt. Weingarten concurs with Dr. Heagy. Language will be left in.

64B14-5.004

Dr. Martin Slaughter, D.C., addressed the Board explaining that he has seen the education go up but not the attendance. People that have computers and phones are not paying attention. He believes that these people need to be discipline. He believes that online CE, with security, will increase attendance. He would like to see online CE move forward.

Dr. Joel Miller, D.C., addressed the Board to ask the Board to approve online CE.

*** Break 12:55 p.m. until 1:15 p.m.**

Action: Motion to approve the language of Rule 64B2-13.004 continuing education as so discussed of the language that was agreed on made by Dr. Hunt. Second made Dr. Heagy.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Action: Motion to designate a board member to review the language prior to it being submitted for publication made by Dr. Fox. Second by Dr. Heagy.

Dr. Weingarten will review the language prior to publication.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

The Statement of Annual Regulatory Costs (SERC) is requested when considering any rule-making.

1. Would this have an adverse effect on small business?

No, this should not have any effect on small business. This Rule being opened would allow the possibilities for more small businesses for chiropractors to achieve continuing education.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

2. Would any part of the Rule language increase regulatory costs to any entity including the government in the excess of \$200,000.00 within 1-year of implementation?
No.
3. Do you believe the Rule amendments to increase regulatory costs to any entity in excess of \$1,000,000.00 within five years of the last part of the Rule to become effective?
No.

Therefore, the Rule does not need to be submitted to the Legislature for ratification.

4. Per Chapter 120.695 Florida Statutes, do you believe a violation of any part of the Rule can be remedied through a Notice of Non-Compliance?

No, it is not a minor violation.

Violation to the continuing education rules violate the public's health, safety, and welfare.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

The language will be published to start the 21-day comment period.

f. Continuing Education Courses (64B2-13.0045)

Mr. Harris presented the draft language to the Board. Subsection 2 should be stricken. Once a practitioner relocates to or starts practice in the state of Florida, the 1-year requirement must be met that requires the licensee to appear at a live board meeting. The Board was good with subsection (1). Strike number three. Strike number 2. Add the language: If a licensee starts practicing in the state of Florida or if they start residency, the 12-month clock starts then. The words "after locating" should be replaced with "establishing practice" in Florida to start the 1-year requirement.

Public comment was opened. There was none.

Action: Motion to accept the changes to Rule 64B2-13.0045 made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Fox.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Would any of the changes to the both Rules create an adverse effect on small business or increase costs to any entity in excess of \$200,000.00 within 1-year of implementation.

Vote: No; unanimous.

For both of the Rules, would the effect of the amendments be to increase regulatory costs in excess of \$1,000,000.00 within five years of the last portion of the Rule to be implemented.

Vote: No; unanimous.

Can any portion of the Rules whether the existing portion or as amended be resolved as a minor violation and therefore a notice of non-compliance could be issued?

Vote: No; unanimous.

Action: Motion to approve Rule 64B2-13.007 continuing education requirements made by Dr. Weingarten. Second by Dr. Fox.

Vote: 7 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Section XIII ended at: 1:44 p.m.

Section IV began at: 1:44 p.m.

IV. PETITION FOR VARIANCE OR WAIVER

a. Albert E. Warner, III., D.C.

Dr. Warner is present with counsel, Michelle Champion, Esq. Dr. Warner filed a petition through counsel requesting a variance from Rule 64B2-13.004(2).

Action: Motion to deny variance based upon the current Rule only allows 10-general hours of continuing education online and because there is no proof of hardship made by Dr. Weingarten. Second made by Dr. Heagy.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

b. J. Philip Palmer, D.C.

Dr. Palmer was not present and not represented by counsel. Dr. Palmer would like a course on brain-injury he took to be accepted by the state of Florida.

Action: Motion to deny the petition based on lack of information about the course that was submitted and there appears to be no hardship made by Dr. Heagy. Second made by Dr. Fox.

Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.

c. Lyle W. Grenz, D.C.

Dr. Grenz was not present and is not represented by counsel. Dr. Grenz resides abroad. He submitted a course from Dan Murphy. The Board expressed concern about the timeliness of this petition being filed after the fact.

Action: Motion to deny the course due to the request being late and unresearched made by Dr. Fox. Second by Mr. Licata.

Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.

d. Anthony Oliverio, D.C.

Dr. Oliverio is not present and is not represented by counsel. Dr. Oliverio is asking to be able to take four online courses.

Action: Motion to deny the petition based the petition is not legally sufficient. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.

e. Jason Davis, D.C.

Dr. Davis is not present and not represented by counsel. Dr. Davis is asking to able to take four online courses.

Action: Motion to deny the petition based on the petition being legally insufficient made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Mr. Colter.

Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.

f. Robert Ziengenfuss, D.C.

Dr. Ziengenfuss is not present and is not represented by counsel. The petition is not legally sufficient.

Action: Motion to deny the petition based on the petition being legally insufficient made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Mr. Colter.

Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.

g. John Kinnard, D.C.

Dr. Kinnard is not present and is not represented by counsel.

Action: Motion to deny the petition based on the petition being legally insufficient made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Fox.

Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.

h. Thomas Kleinman, D.C.

Dr. Kleinman is not present and is not represented by counsel.

Action: Motion to deny the petition based on the petition being legally insufficient made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.

i. Louis DiDonato, D.C.

Dr. DiDonato is not present and is not represented by counsel.

Action: Motion to deny the petition based on the petition being legally insufficient made by Mr. Licata. Second by Dr. Fox.

Vote: 6 yeas/ 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Section IV ended at: 2:44 p.m.

Skipped to Section XII

Section XII began at: 2:44 p.m.

XII. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT:

a. Online CE hours

Dr. Spivey received a letter from the Florida Chiropractic Society stating that Dr. Warner is not a representative of the Society. Dr. Warner testified previously under oath to the Board that he was. A response was also received from the Florida Chiropractic Physician's Association stating that Dr. Warner is not a representative for the FCPA.

b. Chiropractic Fee Scenarios

Several scenarios were discussed with fee reductions and budgets. Dr. Fogarty wanted the fee reduced permanently by \$50.00. Mr. Licata discussed a permanent \$50.00 fee reduction and a \$50.00 holiday. Mr. Harris stated this was possible. Dr. Spivey will run some scenarios with the budget office and come back to the May meeting with some suggestions.

c. Annual Delegation of Authority

Dr. Spivey reviewed the report with the Board Members.

d. National Board of Chiropractic Examiners

The annual meeting is coming up in May. Dr. Heagy and Dr. Fox will be approved as voting delegates.

e. Healthcare Practitioners Application

Dr. Spivey explained the proposed standardized healthcare application.

Action: Motion to open the rule for development made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Section XII ended at: 2:50 p.m.

Skipped to Section VII

Section VII began at: 2:50 p.m.

VII. CONTINUING EDUCATION HOURS TO BE APPROVED

a. René M. Reed, D.C.

Dr. Reed is not present and is not represented by counsel. Dr. Reed submitted a naturopathic course he attended in the state of California. He is asking for 19 general hours.

Action: Motion to deny by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Fox.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Section VII ended at: 3:05 p.m.

Section VIII began at: 3:05 p.m.

VIII. REQUEST FOR CONTINUING EDUCATION EXTENSION FOR BOARD REVIEW

a. Ronald L. Brenner, D.C.

Dr. Brenner submitted a request for an extension for continuing education due to the California wildfires.

Action: Motion to approve the request for extension made by Dr. Fox. Second by Dr. Heagy.

Action: Motion for Dr. Brenner to have 1-year to complete the required continuing education made by Dr. Fox. Second by Mr. Licata.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Section VIII ended at: 3:36 p.m.

Section IX began at: 3:36 p.m.

IX. RATIFICATIONS:

a. Licensure

i. Chiropractic Physician

Action: Motion to approve license numbers 12329-12400 by Dr. Heagy. Second by Mr. Colter.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

ii. Registered Chiropractic Assistant

Action: Motion to approve license numbers 17121-17428 made by Dr. Fox. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

iii. Certified Chiropractic Physician Assistant

Action: Motion to approve license numbers 861-881 made by Dr. Fox. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

b. Continuing Education

i. CE Providers and Courses approved by Committee Chairs

Action: Motion to approve by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Section IX ended at: 3:38 p.m.

Section X began at: 3:38 p.m.

X. CONTINUING EDUCATION:

a. New York Chiropractic College

i. Course #20-587969

Action: Motion to approve because it does have SCORM and an adequate description made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 5 yeas / 1 opposed. Dr. Hunt opposed. Motion carried.

ii. Course #20-631790

Action: Motion to deny made by Dr. Weingarten. Second by Dr. Heagy.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

iii. Course #20-631794

Action: Motion to approve made by Dr. Weingarten. Second by Dr. Heagy.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed.

iv. Course #20-631804 Motion carried.

Action: Motion to approve made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

v. Course #20-631816

Action: Motion to approve made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

vi. Course #20-631822

Action: Motion to approve made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Mr. Colter.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

vii. Course #20-631832

Action: Motion to deny the application due to insufficient documentation made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Fox.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

viii. Course #20-631840

Action: Motion to deny due to insufficient documentation made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

ix. Course #20-632872

Action: Motion to approve made by Dr. Weingarten. Second by Dr. Heagy.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

b. The Wise DC

i. Course #20-597575

Action: Motion to deny based on an insufficient syllabus made by Dr. Heagy. Second made by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

ii. Course #20-624388

Action: Motion to deny based on insufficient hourly description made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

iii. Course #20-624404

Action: Motion to deny based on insufficient information made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

c. Chirocredit.com/Onlinece.com

i. Course #20-626286

Action: Motion to deny based on insufficient description by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

ii. Course #20-603819

Action: Motion to deny based on insufficient description made by Mr. Colter. Second by Dr. Weingarten.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

iii. Course #20-603825

Action: Motion to deny based on insufficient description made by Mr. Colter. Second by Dr. Heagy.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

iv. Course #20-630542

Action: Motion to deny made by Dr. Fox. Second by Dr. Heagy.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

v. Course #20-630546

Action: Motion to deny made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Fox.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

vi. Course #20-604721

Action: Motion to deny made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Mr. Licata.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

viii. Course #20-631250

Action: Motion to deny made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Mr. Licata.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

ix. Course #20-631512

Action: Motion to deny made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Mr. Licata.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

x. Course #20-631516

Action: Motion to deny made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Dr. Fox.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

xi. Course #20-631520

Action: Motion to deny made by Dr. Fox. Second by Mr. Licata.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Section XIV ended at 4:26 p.m.

Section XV began at 4:26 p.m.

XV. OLD BUSINESS:

None.

Section XV ended at 4:26 p.m.

Section XVI began at 4:26 p.m.

XVI. NEW BUSINESS:

None.

Section XVI ended at 4:27 p.m.

Section XVII began at 4:28 p.m.

XVII. NEXT MEETING DATE:

a. May 18, 2018

b.

Section XVII ended at 4:28 p.m.

Section XVIII began at 4:29 p.m.

XVIII. PUBLIC COMMENT:

No public comment.

Section XVIII ended at 4:29 p.m.

Section XIX began at 4:30 p.m.

XIX. ADJOURNMENT:

Motion to adjourn the meeting made by Dr. Heagy. Second by Mr. Licata.

Adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

Vote: 6 yeas / 0 opposed. Motion carried.

Section XIX ended at 4:30 p.m.